

STOCHASTIC INTEGRATION IN UMD SPACES

JAN VAN NEERVEN

We report on a joint work with Mark Veraar and Lutz Weis [6].

Building upon previous work by Rosiński and Suchanecki [8] and Brzeźniak and the author [1], a systematic theory of stochastic integration for Banach space-valued functions with respect to Brownian motions has been constructed in [7] using a recent idea of Kalton and Weis to study vector-valued functions through certain operator-theoretic properties of the associated integral operators [4]. In the work presented here, the results of [7] are extended to a theory of stochastic integration for stochastic processes taking values in a UMD space.

Let (γ_n) be a sequence of independent standard Gaussian random variables on some probability space (Ω, \mathbb{P}) . A bounded operator $T : H \rightarrow E$ acting from a separable real Hilbert space H with orthonormal basis (h_n) into a real Banach space E is said to be γ -radonifying if the Gaussian sum $\sum_n \gamma_n T h_n$ converges in $L^2(\Omega; E)$. This definition is independent of the choice of (γ_n) and (h_n) , and the vector space $\gamma(H, E)$ of all γ -radonifying operators from H to E is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\gamma(H, E)}$ defined by

$$\|T\|_{\gamma(H, E)}^2 := \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_n \gamma_n T h_n \right\|^2.$$

Let $W = (W(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion on (Ω, \mathbb{P}) . The main result of [7] can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1 ([7]). *For a function $\psi : [0, T] \rightarrow E$ such that $\langle \psi, x^* \rangle \in L^2(0, T)$ for all $x^* \in E^*$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *For every measurable set $A \subseteq [0, T]$ there exists an E -valued random variable η_A such that for all $x^* \in E^*$ we have*

$$\langle \eta_A, x^* \rangle = \int_A \langle \phi(t), x^* \rangle dW(t) \quad \text{almost surely;}$$

- (2) *There exists an operator $S_\psi \in \gamma(L^2(0, T), E)$ such that for all $f \in L^2(0, T)$ and $x^* \in E^*$ we have*

$$\langle S_\psi f, x^* \rangle = \int_0^T f(t) \langle \psi(t), x^* \rangle dt.$$

Writing $\eta_A = \int_A \psi(t) dW(t)$, for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have $\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^T \psi(t) dW(t) \right\|^p \approx_p \|S_\psi\|_{\gamma(L^2(0, T), E)}^p$, with equality for $p = 2$.

If the equivalent conditions of the theorem are satisfied, then ψ is said to be *stochastically integrable* with respect to W .

Support by a ‘VIDI subsidie’ in the ‘Vernieuwingsimpuls’ programme of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and by the Research Training Network HPRN-CT-2002-00281 is gratefully acknowledged.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}^W = (\mathcal{F}_t^W)_{t \geq 0}$ the augmented filtration generated by W . A stochastic process $\phi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow E$ is said to be \mathcal{F}^W -weakly progressive if for all $x^* \in E^*$ the real-valued process $\langle \psi, x^* \rangle$ is progressively measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}^W . Such a process is said to be *elementary progressive* if it is of the form $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^N 1_{(t_n, t_{n+1}]} \otimes \xi_n$, where ξ_n is an $\mathcal{F}_{t_n}^W$ -measurable simple random variable with values in E . Assuming that E is a UMD space, Garling [3] proved the following two-sided decoupling inequality for elementary progressive processes, valid for $1 < p < \infty$:

$$\mathbb{E}_\Omega \left\| \int_0^T \phi(t) dW(t) \right\|^p \underset{p, E}{\sim} \mathbb{E}_{\Omega \times \tilde{\Omega}} \left\| \int_0^T \phi(t) d\tilde{W}(t) \right\|^p.$$

Here \tilde{W} is a Brownian motion on a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and the integral on the right hand side is defined pathwise with respect to $\tilde{\Omega}$. By Fubini's theorem, the Kahane-Khinchine inequalities and Theorem 1, the right hand side is proportional to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\Omega \times \tilde{\Omega}} \left\| \int_0^T \phi(t) d\tilde{W}(t) \right\|^p \underset{p}{\sim} \mathbb{E}_\Omega \left(\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left\| \int_0^T \phi(t) d\tilde{W}(t) \right\|^2 \right)^{p/2} = \mathbb{E}_\Omega \|S_\phi\|_{\gamma(L^2(0, T), E)}^p,$$

where $S_\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \gamma(L^2(0, T), E)$ satisfies $\langle S_\phi(\omega)f, x^* \rangle = \int_0^T f(t) \langle \phi(t, \omega), x^* \rangle dt$ for all $f \in L^2(0, T)$ and $x^* \in E^*$ almost surely. As a consequence, the mapping $S_\phi \mapsto \int_0^T \phi(t) dW(t)$ extends to an isomorphism from the closure in $L^p(\Omega; \gamma(L^2(0, T), E))$ of the elementary progressive processes onto a certain closed subspace of $L^p(\Omega; E)$. Using a version of the Pettis measurability theorem for \mathcal{F}^W -measurable processes in combination with Itô's martingale representation theorem and approximation arguments, the range of this isomorphism can be identified as the subspace of all mean zero \mathcal{F}_T^W -measurable elements of $L^p(\Omega; E)$. The result is an extension of Itô's martingale representation theorem to UMD-valued processes, which is the main ingredient in the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let E be a UMD space and let $p \in (1, \infty)$. For a weakly progressive process $\phi : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow E$ such that $\langle \phi, x^* \rangle \in L^p(\Omega; L^2(0, T))$ for all $x^* \in E^*$, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *For every measurable set $A \subseteq [0, T]$ there exists a random variable $\eta_A \in L^p(\Omega; E)$ such that for all $x^* \in E^*$ we have*

$$\langle \eta_A, x^* \rangle = \int_A \langle \phi(t), x^* \rangle dW(t) \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega);$$

- (2) *There exists a random variable $S_\phi \in L^p(\Omega; \gamma(L^2(0, T), E))$ such that for all $f \in L^2(0, T)$ and $x^* \in E^*$ we have*

$$\langle S_\phi(\omega)f, x^* \rangle = \int_0^T f(t) \langle \phi(t, \omega), x^* \rangle dt \quad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Writing $\eta_A = \int_A \phi(t) dW(t)$ we have $\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^T \phi(t) dW(t) \right\|^p \underset{p, E}{\sim} \mathbb{E} \|S_\phi\|_{\gamma(L^2(0, T), E)}^p$.

If the equivalent conditions of the theorem are satisfied, then ϕ is said to be L^p -stochastically integrable with respect to W . Note that the scalar stochastic integral on the right hand side in (1) is well defined in $L^p(\Omega)$ by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities. When combined with our generalized Itô representation theorem, the equivalence of norms in the last line of the theorem leads to Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities for UMD-valued \mathcal{F}^W -martingales.

Theorem 2 can be applied to show that every continuous L^p -martingale $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with respect to the filtration \mathcal{F}^W , with values in a UMD space E and satisfying $M_0 = 0$, is L^p -stochastically integrable with respect to W on every interval $[0, T]$ and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^T M_t dW(t) \right\|^p \lesssim_{p,E} T^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{E} \|M_T\|^p.$$

In particular this applies to the continuous L^p -martingale $M_t := \int_0^t \phi(s) dW(s)$, where ϕ is an L^p -stochastically integrable process with values in E .

The idea to use decoupling inequalities to construct a theory of stochastic integration in UMD spaces is due to McConnell [5] who used convergence in probability rather than L^p -convergence. McConnell first generalized Garling's inequalities to obtain decoupling inequalities for tangent sequences with values in UMD spaces and used these to prove that a progressive process with values in a UMD space E is stochastically integrable if and only if its trajectories are stochastically integrable almost surely as E -valued functions. His arguments depend heavily on the equivalence of the UMD property and the geometric notion of ζ -convexity. By using stopping time arguments, our Theorem 2 can be localized to recover McConnell's result under somewhat weaker measurability assumptions. An advantage of this approach is that it uses the UMD property in a direct and elementary way through Garling's inequality. An Itô formula is obtained as well.

Our results can be extended to processes with values in $\mathcal{L}(H, E)$, where H is a separable real Hilbert space and E is a real UMD space; the integrator is then an H -cylindrical Brownian motion. In a subsequent paper we shall apply the results to the study of existence, uniqueness, and regularity of certain classes of nonlinear stochastic evolution equations in E , thereby extending parts of the theory of stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces developed by Da Prato and Zabczyk [2] and many others, to the setting of UMD spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. BRZEŹNIAK AND J.M.A.M. VAN NEERVEN, Stochastic convolution in separable Banach spaces and the stochastic linear Cauchy problem, *Studia Math.* **143** (2000), 43–74.
- [2] G. DA PRATO AND J. ZABCZYK, "Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions", Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [3] D. J. H. GARLING, Brownian motion and UMD-spaces, in: "Probability and Banach Spaces" (Zaragoza, 1985), 36–49, Lecture Notes in Math. 1221, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [4] N. KALTON AND L. WEIS, The H^∞ -functional calculus and square function estimates, preprint.
- [5] T. R. MCCONNELL, Decoupling and stochastic integration in UMD Banach spaces, *Probab. Math. Statist.* **10** (1989), 283–295.
- [6] J.M.A.M. VAN NEERVEN, M.C. VERAAR, AND L. WEIS, Stochastic integration of processes with values in a UMD Banach space, in preparation.
- [7] J.M.A.M. VAN NEERVEN AND L. WEIS, Stochastic integration of functions with values in a Banach space, to appear in *Studia Math.*
- [8] J. ROSIŃSKI AND Z. SUCHANECKI, On the space of vector-valued functions integrable with respect to the white noise, *Colloq. Math.* **43** (1980), 183–201.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF DELFT, P.O. BOX 5031, 2600 GA DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS

E-mail address: J.vanNeerven@math.tudelft.nl