

# The vector-valued Loomis theorem for the half-line and individual stability of $C_0$ -semigroups: a counterexample

J. M. A. M. van Neerven

Communicated by K. H. Hofmann

**Abstract.** We construct a bounded, uniformly continuous function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow l^2$  with the following properties:

- (1) The Laplace transform  $\mathcal{L}g(\cdot)$  has a holomorphic extension to a neighbourhood of  $\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (2) The non-tangential strong limit  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}g(\lambda)$  exists;
- (3)  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau g(t) dt \right\| = 0$ ;
- (4)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle g(t), x^* \rangle = 0$  for all  $x^* \in l^2$ ;
- (5)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|g(t)\| \geq 1$ .

This function is then used to construct a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , with generator  $A$ , on a Banach space  $X$  with the following property. There exists an element  $x \in X$  such that:

- (i) The orbit  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous;
- (ii) The local resolvent  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)x$  has a holomorphic extension to a neighbourhood of  $\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau T(t)x dt \right\| = 0$ ;
- (iv) There is a norming subspace  $Z \subseteq X^*$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x^* \in Z;$$

- (v)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\| \geq 1$ .

This example shows that in the local version of the Arendt-Batty-Lyubich-Vũ stability theorem, obtained recently by Batty-van Neerven-Räbiger, the total ergodicity assumption cannot be weakened to ergodicity.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47D03, 44A10, 43A60, 43A65

## 0. Introduction

In this paper we present a counterexample related to the validity of the vector-valued Loomis theorem for the half-line  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and examine its consequences for the theory of individual stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups.

In order to motivate the questions studied here, let us first recall some well-known results for the real line. Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and let  $\mathbb{C}_-$  and  $\mathbb{C}_+$  denote the sets  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}$  and  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0\}$ ,

respectively. The *Carleman transform* of a function  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is the holomorphic  $X$ -valued function  $\hat{f} : \mathbb{C}_- \cup \mathbb{C}_+ \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} -\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} f(-t) dt, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0; \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0. \end{cases}$$

A point  $i\omega \in i\mathbb{R}$  is *regular* for  $\hat{f}$  if  $\hat{f}$  admits a holomorphic extension to some open neighbourhood of  $\mathbb{C}_- \cup \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{i\omega\}$ . The *Carleman spectrum* of  $f$ , notation  $\sigma_C(f)$ , is the set of all  $i\omega \in i\mathbb{R}$  that are *singular*, i.e. not regular, for  $\hat{f}$ .

Let  $C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$  denote the Banach space of bounded continuous  $X$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$  be its closed subspace of all almost periodic  $X$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Recall that a function  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$  is *almost periodic* if it belongs to the closed linear span in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$  of the set of trigonometric polynomials  $\{e_{i\omega} \otimes x : \omega \in \mathbb{R}, x \in X\}$ ; here  $(e_{i\omega} \otimes x)(t) := e^{i\omega t} x$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is well-known that a function  $f$  is almost periodic if and only if the set of its translates  $\{f_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a relatively compact subset of  $C_b(\mathbb{R}, X)$ ; here  $f_t$  is the function defined by  $f_t(s) := f(t + s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is well-known that almost periodic  $X$ -valued functions are uniformly continuous and have countable Carleman spectrum. Conversely, a function  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ , the Banach space of bounded uniformly continuous  $X$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , whose Carleman spectrum is countable, is almost periodic if at least one of the following four conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $X$  does not contain a closed subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ ;
- (ii)  $\sigma_C(f)$  is discrete (i.e. consists of isolated points only);
- (iii)  $f$  has relatively weakly compact range;
- (iv)  $f$  is *totally ergodic*, i.e.  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{-i\omega t} f(t + s) dt$  exists, uniformly in  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , for all  $i\omega \in \sigma_C(f)$ .

The fact that a scalar-valued bounded uniformly continuous function with countable Carleman spectrum is almost periodic is known as Loomis's theorem. For the proof of its vector-valued versions we refer to [LZ, Theorem 6.4.4], [AB1] (for (i) and (iii)), [AS] (for (ii)), and [RV], [AB1] (for (iv)).

The following simple example, which is included for reasons of completeness, shows that the condition 'uniformly in  $s \geq 0$ ' cannot be omitted in (iv).

**Example 0.1.** Define  $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}, c_0)$  by

$$g(t) = (e^{it} - e^{it/2}, e^{it/2} - e^{it/4}, e^{it/4} - e^{it/8}, \dots), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easily verified that  $\sigma_C(g) = \{i, i/2, i/4, i/8, \dots\} \cup \{0\}$  and that for all  $i\omega \in \sigma_C(g)$  the limit  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{-i\omega t} g(t) dt$  exists. Nevertheless  $g$  is readily seen not to be almost periodic. ■

For functions on the half-line  $\mathbb{R}_+$  the concept of Carleman spectrum breaks down and needs to be replaced by that of Laplace spectrum. Recall that the *Laplace transform* of a function  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  is the holomorphic  $X$ -valued function  $\mathcal{L}f$  on  $\mathbb{C}_+$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L}f(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

A point  $i\omega \in i\mathbb{R}$  is *regular* for  $\mathcal{L}f$  if  $\mathcal{L}f$  admits a holomorphic extension to some open neighbourhood of  $\mathbb{C}_+ \cup \{i\omega\}$ . The *Laplace spectrum* of  $f$ , notation  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(f)$ , is the set of all  $i\omega \in i\mathbb{R}$  that are *singular*, i.e. not regular, for  $\mathcal{L}f$ .

For a function  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  the Laplace spectrum  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(f|_{\mathbb{R}_+})$  of its restriction to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is usually much smaller than its Carleman spectrum  $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(f)$ . For instance if  $f(t) = e^{-t^2}$ , then  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(f|_{\mathbb{R}_+}) = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(f) = i\mathbb{R}$ .

A function  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  is called *almost periodic* if it is the restriction to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of an almost periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and *asymptotically almost periodic* if its set of left translates  $\{f_t : t \geq 0\}$  is a relatively compact subset of  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ ; we now define  $f_t(s) := f(t+s)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ . The spaces of almost periodic functions and asymptotically almost periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  are denoted by  $AP(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  and  $AAP(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ , respectively. As closed subspaces of  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  we have the direct sum decomposition [RS1]

$$AAP(\mathbb{R}_+, X) = AP(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \oplus C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X).$$

The following analogue of version (iv) of the vector-valued Loomis theorem for the half-line was obtained recently in [BNR2, Theorem 4.1] (where the result is stated in terms of Abel means) and [Ne, Theorem 5.3.5]:

**Proposition 0.2.** *Let  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  and assume that  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  is countable. If for all  $i\omega \in \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(f)$  the limit*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau} e^{-i\omega t} f(t+s) dt$$

*exists, uniformly in  $s \geq 0$ , then  $f \in AAP(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ . If in addition we know that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(t), x^* \rangle = 0$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$ , then  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ .*

As is the case for the real line, the condition ‘uniformly in  $s \geq 0$ ’ cannot be omitted from the first statement. This is shown by the following example, which also shows that there is no analogue for  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of versions (i), (ii), and (iii) of the vector-valued Loomis theorem:

**Example 0.3** [BNR, Example 4.2], [RV, Example 3.12], [St, p. 608]. Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$  and consider the function  $g(t) = \sin \sqrt{t}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and its Laplace transform is given by

$$\mathcal{L}g(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{-1/(4z)}}{2z^{3/2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

Hence,  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \{0\}$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau} g(t) dt \right| = 0,$$

but  $g$  is not asymptotically almost periodic. ■

Example 0.3 does not rule out the possibility that the condition ‘uniformly in  $s \geq 0$ ’ may be dropped in Proposition 0.2 if in addition to the stated assumptions we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(t), x^* \rangle = 0$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$ . In Section 1 we will show that this hope is unfounded by proving:

**Theorem 0.4.** *There exists a function  $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \{0\}$ ;
- (2) the non-tangential strong limit  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}g(\lambda)$  exists;
- (3)  $\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau g(t) dt \right\|_{l^2} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt[4]{\tau}}$  for all  $\tau > 0$  and some constant  $C > 0$ ;
- (4)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle g(t), x^* \rangle = 0$  for all  $x^* \in l^2$ ;
- (5)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|g(t)\|_{l^2} \geq 1$ .

This function  $g$  is obtained through a construction which combines the essential features of Examples 0.1 and 0.3. We point out that this construction can be simplified somewhat to obtain an example of a  $c_0$ -valued function with the properties (1) - (5); this would suffice for the applications in Sections 2 and 3. But in the context of version (i) of Loomis's theorem it is interesting that the example can be realized for  $l^2$ -valued functions.

Note that (4) and (5) imply that  $g$  is not asymptotically almost periodic. In fact,  $g$  even fails to be Eberlein weakly almost periodic. Recall that a function  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  is called *Eberlein weakly almost periodic* if the set  $\{f_t : t \geq 0\}$  is a relatively weakly compact subset of  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ . Indeed, if  $g$  were Eberlein weakly almost periodic, then (4) in combination with [BNR1, Theorem 6.1] and [BNR2, Theorem 4.1] would imply that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|g(t)\|_{l^2} = 0$ .

It is well-known that there is a close relationship between the theory of asymptotic almost periodicity on the one hand and the homogenous and inhomogenous abstract Cauchy problem on the other; we refer the reader to [AB], [AS], [Ba], [LZ], [RS], [RV] and the references given there. For more information on the general theory of  $C_0$ -semigroups, as well as for an explanation of the standard terminology and notation, we refer to the book [Pa]. Here we mention the fact that Proposition 0.2 implies an individual version of the celebrated Arendt-Batty-Lyubich-Vũ stability theorem. In order to state the precise result we need the following notation.

Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space  $X$  with generator  $A$ . Choose constants  $M > 0$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Fix  $x \in X$ . The *local resolvent* of  $A$  at  $x$  is the  $X$ -valued holomorphic function  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)x := (\lambda - A)^{-1}x$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$ . Let us assume that this function has a holomorphic extension  $F_x$  to  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . This happens, for instance, if the orbit  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  is bounded. We then denote by  $\sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(A, x)$  the set of singular points of  $F_x$  on the imaginary axis. Recalling that the resolvent is given by the Laplace transform of the semigroup,

$$R(\lambda, A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega,$$

we see that  $\sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(A, x) = \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(T(\cdot)x)$  if the orbit  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  is bounded. Applying Proposition 0.2 to such orbits gives the following result [BNR2, Theorem 5.3] (cf. [Ne, Theorem 5.3.6]).

**Proposition 0.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $X$  with generator  $A$ . Let  $x \in X$  be an element with the following properties:*

- (1) *The orbit  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous;*
- (2)  *$\sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(A, x)$  is countable;*

(3) For all  $i\omega \in \sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(A, x)$  the limit  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{-i\omega t} T(t+s)x dt$  exists, uniformly in  $s \geq 0$ .

Then the orbit  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  is asymptotically almost periodic. If the limits in (3) equal 0, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0.$$

The question whether the assumption ‘uniformly in  $s \geq 0$ ’ can be omitted from this result was left open in [BNR2]. We mention the fact, proved in [BNR1], that it can be omitted indeed if  $\mathbf{T}$  is a uniformly bounded semigroup.

Here we will show that in general the answer is negative:

**Theorem 0.6.** *There exists a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  with generator  $A$  on a Banach space  $X$  with the following property: there is an element  $x \in X$  such that*

- (1) *The orbit  $t \mapsto T(t)x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous;*
- (2)  $\sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(A, x) = \{0\}$ ;
- (3)  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau T(t)x dt \right\| = 0$ ;
- (4) *There is a norming subspace  $Z \subseteq X^*$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle = 0$  for all  $x^* \in Z$ ;*
- (5)  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\| \geq 1$ .

Note that (4) implies that the limits in Proposition 0.5 (3), whenever they exist, are equal to 0.

The proofs of Theorems 0.4 and 0.6 are given in Sections 1 and 2, respectively. In the final Section 3 we use Theorem 0.4 to construct a translation invariant linear functional on the closed subspace of  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  consisting of all functions converging to 0 scalarly.

## 1. Proof of Theorem 0.4

We start with a simple estimate.

**Lemma 1.1.**  $\sup_{\tau > 0} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{-\lambda s} \sin \sqrt{s} ds \right| \leq \frac{6}{\sqrt{\tau}}.$

**Proof.** Fix  $\tau > 0$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ . By a change of variable,

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{-\lambda s} \sin \sqrt{s} ds = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{\sqrt{\tau}} 2te^{-\lambda t^2} \sin t dt,$$

and by partial integration we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{\sqrt{\tau}} te^{-\lambda t^2} \sin t dt \right| &= \left| -\sqrt{\tau} e^{-\lambda \tau} \cos \sqrt{\tau} + \int_0^{\sqrt{\tau}} (1 - 2\lambda t^2) e^{-\lambda t^2} \cos t dt \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\tau} + \int_0^{\sqrt{\tau}} |(1 - 2\lambda t^2) e^{-\lambda t^2}| dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{\tau} + \left(1 + \frac{2}{e}\right) \sqrt{\tau}; \end{aligned}$$

in the last step we used the inequality  $0 \leq ue^{-u} \leq 1/e$ ,  $u \geq 0$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 0.4:* Let  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq 1$  so small that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 s}) ds \leq 1$$

and choose  $t_1 > 0$  such that  $t_1 = ((2n_1 + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2$  for some  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $e^{-\lambda_1 t_1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $0 < \lambda_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$  be so small that  $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ ,

$$1 - e^{-2\lambda_2} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad e^{-\lambda_2 t_1} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{8\pi} (1 - e^{-\lambda_2 s}) ds \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Choose  $t_2 > t_1$  such that  $t_2 = ((2n_2 + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2$  for some  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $e^{-\lambda_2 t_2} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Continuing in the obvious way we obtain sequences  $(\lambda_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  of positive real numbers satisfying:

- (i)  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$  and for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  we have  $t_j = ((2n_j + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2$  for some  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots \downarrow 0$  and  $\lambda_j \leq \frac{1}{j}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (iii)  $1 - e^{-j\lambda_j} \leq \frac{1}{j}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (iv)  $e^{-\lambda_j t_j} \leq 2^{-j}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (v)  $e^{-\lambda_{j+1} t_j} \geq 1 - 2^{-j}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (vi)  $\int_0^{2\pi j^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda_j s}) ds \leq \frac{1}{j}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ .

The reader will notice some reduncancy in these condition; trying to avoid this would just complicate the construction below.

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we define  $f_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f_n(t) = e^{-\lambda_{n+1} t} - e^{-\lambda_n t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow l^2$  be defined by

$$f(t) = (f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots).$$

Finally let  $\phi(t) := \sin \sqrt{t}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and define  $g_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow l^2$  by

$$g_n(t) := \phi(t) f_n(t), \quad g(t) := \phi(t) f(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

First we check that indeed  $f(t) \in l^2$ , and hence  $g(t) \in l^2$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ . To this end let  $t \geq 0$  be fixed and let  $k$  denote the smallest positive integer such that  $t \leq t_k$ . Then  $t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ , with the convention that  $t_0 = 0$ . If  $m \geq k$ , then  $0 \leq t \leq t_k \leq t_m$  implies  $1 \geq e^{-\lambda_{m+1} t} \geq e^{-\lambda_{m+1} t_m} \geq 1 - 2^{-m}$ . Therefore, for  $n \geq k + 1$  we have  $1 \geq e^{-\lambda_{n+1} t} \geq e^{-\lambda_n t} \geq 1 - 2^{-n+1}$  and thus

$$|f_n(t)| \leq |e^{-\lambda_{n+1} t} - e^{-\lambda_n t}| \leq 2^{-n+1}.$$

If  $1 \leq m \leq k - 1$ , then  $e^{-\lambda_m t} \leq e^{-\lambda_m t_{k-1}} \leq e^{-\lambda_m t_m} \leq 2^{-m}$ , and therefore, for  $1 \leq n \leq k - 2$  we have  $e^{-\lambda_n t} \leq e^{-\lambda_{n+1} t} \leq 2^{-n-1}$  and thus

$$|f_n(t)| \leq |e^{-\lambda_{n+1} t} - e^{-\lambda_n t}| \leq 2^{-n-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\|f(t)\|_{l^2}^2 \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} 2^{-2n-2} \right) + 1 + 1 + \left( \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2n+2} \right) \leq 2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2n+2} \leq 4.$$

This shows that  $f$ , and hence also  $g$ , is a bounded  $l^2$ -valued function; in fact,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|g(t)\|_{l^2} \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \|f(t)\|_{l^2} \leq 2.$$

Next we check that the  $l^2$ -valued function  $g$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Once more it suffices to prove this for  $f$ . Let  $0 \leq s \leq t$  be fixed. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t) - f(s)\|_{l^2} &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(e^{-\lambda_{n+1}t} - e^{-\lambda_n t}) - (e^{-\lambda_{n+1}s} - e^{-\lambda_n s})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e^{-\lambda_{n+1}s} - e^{-\lambda_{n+1}t}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e^{-\lambda_n s} - e^{-\lambda_n t}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - e^{-\lambda_{n+1}(t-s)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - e^{-\lambda_n(t-s)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (iii) the last two sums are finite and depend only on the difference  $t - s$ . Moreover, as  $t - s \downarrow 0$  these sums tend to 0 by monotone convergence. It follows that  $f$ , as an  $l^2$ -valued function, is uniformly continuous and we conclude that  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$ .

We will check that  $g$  has the properties (1) - (5) stated in Theorem 0.4.

The Laplace transform of  $g_n$  is given by

$$\mathcal{L}g_n(z) = \mathcal{L}\phi(\lambda_{n+1} + z) - \mathcal{L}\phi(\lambda_n + z), \quad \operatorname{Re} z > 0,$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}\phi(\zeta) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-1/(4\zeta)}}{2\zeta^{3/2}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

We will show that  $\mathcal{L}g$  extends holomorphically to  $D := \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ . Let  $z \in D$  be fixed and choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so small that  $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial D) < \varepsilon$ . By analyticity we can choose a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\mathcal{L}\phi(z_0) - \mathcal{L}\phi(z_1)| \leq C|z_0 - z_1|$$

whenever  $|z - z_0| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $|z - z_1| \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\lambda_{j+1} \leq \lambda_j \leq \frac{1}{j}$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , for  $n > 1/\varepsilon$  we have

$$|\mathcal{L}g_n(z)| = |\mathcal{L}\phi(\lambda_{n+1} + z) - \mathcal{L}\phi(\lambda_n + z)| \leq C|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{L}g(z) := (\mathcal{L}g_1(z), \mathcal{L}g_2(z), \dots)$$

defines an element in  $l^2$ . The function  $z \mapsto \mathcal{L}g(z)$  is coordinatewise holomorphic on  $D$ , from which it is easily seen to be weakly holomorphic, and hence holomorphic.

Having obtained a holomorphic extension of  $\mathcal{L}g$  to  $D$ , it follows that  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(g) \subset \{0\}$ . But since the singularities of  $\mathcal{L}g_n$  accumulate in 0, this is a singular point of  $\mathcal{L}g$ . This proves that  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \{0\}$ , which is (1).

Next we check that the non-tangential strong limit  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}g(\lambda)$  exists. Fix  $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and let

$$\Sigma_\theta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0, |\arg z| < \theta\}.$$

Define

$$\psi(\zeta) := \mathcal{L}\phi(\zeta) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^{-1/(4\zeta)}}{2\zeta^{-3/2}}, \quad \zeta \in \Sigma_\theta.$$

For  $r > 0$  we put  $\Sigma_{r,\theta} := \{\zeta \in \Sigma_\theta : |\zeta| < r\}$  and let

$$C_{r,\theta} := \sup_{\zeta \in \Sigma_{r,\theta}} |\psi'(\zeta)|.$$

It is easy to check that this number is finite for each  $r > 0$  and that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} C_{r,\theta} = 0$ . Now fix  $r > 0$ . If  $n$  is so large that  $\lambda_n < r$ , then for all  $n' \geq n$  and  $z \in \Sigma_{r,\theta}$  we have  $\lambda_{n'} + z \in \Sigma_{2r,\theta}$ , and the mean-value theorem then gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}g_n(z) - \mathcal{L}g_n(0)| &\leq |\psi(\lambda_{n+1} + z) - \psi(\lambda_n + z)| + |\psi(\lambda_{n+1}) - \psi(\lambda_n)| \\ &\leq 2C_{2r,\theta}|\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \leq \frac{2C_{2r,\theta}}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used (ii). Defining  $\hat{g}(0) \in l^2$  by

$$\hat{g}(0) := (\mathcal{L}g_1(0), \mathcal{L}g_2(0), \dots)$$

it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{L}g(z) - \hat{g}(0)\|_{l^2}^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda_n \geq r} |\mathcal{L}g_n(z) - \mathcal{L}g_n(0)|^2 + 4C_{2r,\theta}^2 \sum_{\lambda_n < r} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

The first sum on the right hand side extends over finitely many  $n$  and tends to 0 as  $z \rightarrow 0$ . Keeping  $r > 0$  fixed and letting  $z \rightarrow 0$  in  $\Sigma_\theta$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{z \in \Sigma_\theta, z \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{L}g(z) - \hat{g}(0)\|_{l^2}^2 \leq 4C_{2r,\theta}^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Because  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} C_{2r,\theta} = 0$  this gives (2).

We now check (3). First note that since  $g$  is bounded, it suffices to prove that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau g(t) dt\|_{l^2} \leq C\tau^{-\frac{1}{4}}$  for all  $\tau \geq (2\pi)^2$ .

Fix  $\tau \geq (2\pi)^2$  and an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\tau \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}]$ , where  $\tau_j := (2\pi j)^2$ . If  $n \geq k+1$ , then by (vi),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau g_n(s) ds \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{(2\pi n)^2} (e^{-\lambda_{n+1}s} - e^{-\lambda_n s}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{(2\pi n)^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda_n s}) ds \leq \frac{1}{n\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $1 \leq n \leq k$  then by Lemma 1.1,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau g_n(s) ds \right| \leq \frac{12}{\sqrt{\tau}}.$$



Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau g(s) ds \right\|_{l^2}^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{144}{\tau} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \tau^2} \\
&\leq k \cdot \frac{144}{\tau} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \tau^2} \\
&\leq \frac{144}{2\pi\sqrt{\tau}} + \frac{2}{\tau^2} \\
&\leq \left( \frac{144}{2\pi} + 2 \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we recall that  $\tau \geq (2\pi)^2 > 1$ . Taking square roots on both sides gives (3).

Let  $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  denote the  $n$ -th unit vector in  $l^2$ . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle g(t), e_n \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_n(t) = 0,$$

and since the linear span of the sequence  $(e_n)$  is dense in  $l^2$  and  $g$  is bounded, (4) follows from this.

Finally, noting that by (i) we have  $\phi(t_n) = 1$  all  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that

$$\|g(t_n)\|_{l^2} \geq |g_n(t_n)| = e^{-\lambda_{n+1}t_n} - e^{-\lambda_n t_n} \geq (1 - 2^{-n}) - 2^{-n} = 1 - 2^{-n+1},$$

which gives (5). ■

## 2. Proof of Theorem 0.6

In this section we will prove Theorem 0.6, thus giving a negative answer to the question, mentioned in the introduction, that was raised in [BNR2].

We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  satisfies*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau f(t) dt \right\| \leq C\tau^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Then,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau f(t+s) dt \right\| \leq C\tau^{-\frac{1}{4}}(1 + 2s^{\frac{3}{4}}), \quad \forall \tau \geq 1, s \geq 0.$$

**Proof.** For  $s = 0$  the estimate is trivial. Fix  $\tau \geq 1$  and  $s > 0$ . We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau f(t+s) dt \right\| &\leq \frac{\tau+s}{\tau} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau+s} \int_0^{\tau+s} f(t) dt \right\| + \frac{s}{\tau} \left\| \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s f(t) dt \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{\tau+s}{\tau} \cdot \frac{C}{(\tau+s)^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{s}{\tau} \cdot \frac{C}{s^{\frac{1}{4}}} \\
&= \frac{C}{(\tau+s)^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{Cs}{\tau(\tau+s)^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{Cs^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\tau} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\tau^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{Cs^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\tau} + \frac{Cs^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\tau},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result. ■

Let

$$\psi(t) := 1 + t^{\frac{3}{4}}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and denote by  $BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  the space of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$  such that  $f/\psi \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ . This is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} := \|f/\psi\|_{BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}.$$

For a function  $f \in BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  and a real number  $t \geq 0$  we denote by  $f_t : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$  the function

$$f_t(s) := f(s+t), \quad s \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_t \in BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ ; in fact:

**Lemma 2.2.** *For all  $f \in BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  we have*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|f - f_t\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} = 0.$$

**Proof.** For all  $s, t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(s+t)}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} - \frac{f(s+t)}{1+(s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right\| &= \frac{((s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}} - s^{\frac{3}{4}}) \|f(s+t)\|}{(1+s^{\frac{3}{4}})(1+(s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}})} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} \frac{((s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}} - s^{\frac{3}{4}})}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} ((s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}} - s^{\frac{3}{4}}) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} \cdot t^{\frac{3}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate and the strong continuity of translation in  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \|f - f_t\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} &= \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \left( \sup_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|f(s) - f(s+t)\| \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left( \sup_{s \geq 0} \left\| \frac{f(s)}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} - \frac{f(s+t)}{1+(s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right\| \right) \\ &\quad + \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left( \sup_{s \geq 0} \left\| \frac{f(s+t)}{1+(s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}}} - \frac{f(s+t)}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right\| \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|f - f_t\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)}$  exists and equals 0. ■

This lemma shows that the left translation semigroup  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$  on  $BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  defined by

$$S_\psi(t)f := f_t, \quad f \in BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is strongly continuous. From

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_\psi(t)f\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} &= \sup_{s \geq 0} \frac{f(s+t)}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\ &\leq \sup_{s \geq 0} \frac{1+(s+t)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \|f\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} \\ &= (1+t^{\frac{3}{4}}) \|f\|_{BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\|S_\psi(t)\| \leq \psi(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Denote the inclusion map  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \hookrightarrow BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  by  $i_\psi$ , and let  $\mathbf{S}$  denote the left translation semigroup on  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ . Then  $i_\psi \circ S(t) = S_\psi(t) \circ i_\psi$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Denoting by  $B$  and  $B_\psi$  the generators of  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$ , respectively, it also follows that  $\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0\} \subset \varrho(B_\psi)$ , the resolvent set of  $B_\psi$ , and  $i_\psi \circ R(\lambda, B) = R(\lambda, B_\psi) \circ i_\psi$  for all  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ .

The final ingredient for the proof of Theorem 0.6 is taken from [BNR2]:

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ . Then  $\sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(f) = \sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(B, f)$ , where  $B$  is the generator of the left translation semigroup on  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 0.6:* We will now show that the semigroup  $\mathbf{S}_\psi$  on  $Y := BUC_\psi(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  and the element  $i_\psi g \in Y$ , where  $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  is the function constructed in Theorem 0.4, have the required properties.

(1): The orbit  $t \mapsto S(t)g$  is clearly bounded and uniformly continuous. Hence  $t \mapsto i_\psi S(t)g = S_\psi(t)i_\psi g$  is bounded and uniformly continuous as well.

(2): By Theorem 0.4 (1) and Proposition 2.3 the local resolvent  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, B)g$  extends holomorphically across  $i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ; let  $F(\cdot)$  be such an extension. Then  $i_\psi F(\cdot)$  is a holomorphic extension of  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, B_\psi)i_\psi g$  across  $i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence  $\sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(B_\psi, i_\psi g) \subset \{0\}$ . But  $\sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(B_\psi, i_\psi g)$  cannot be empty, since this would imply  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_\psi(t)i_\psi g\|_Y = 0$  by [Ne, Corollary 5.3.7], contradicting (5) below.

(3): By Lemma 2.1 for  $\tau \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau S_\psi(t)i_\psi g \, dt \right\|_Y &= \sup_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left\| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau g(t+s) \, dt \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{s \geq 0} \frac{1}{1+s^{\frac{3}{4}}} \cdot C\tau^{-\frac{1}{4}}(1+2s^{\frac{3}{4}}) \leq 2C\tau^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C > 0$  is the constant of Theorem 0.4.

(4): Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle g(t), x^* \rangle = 0$  for all  $x^* \in l^2$  we may take  $Z \subseteq Y^*$  to be the linear span of  $\{\delta_t \otimes x^* : t \geq 0, x^* \in l^2\}$ . Noting that  $\delta_t \otimes x^*$  is a bounded linear form on  $Y$  of norm  $\leq \psi(t)\|x^*\|$ , we see that  $Z$  is indeed norming.

(5): This follows from

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_\psi(t)i_\psi g\|_Y \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|(S_\psi(t)i_\psi g)(0)\| = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|g(t)\| \geq 1.$$

■

### 3. Translation invariant functionals

In this section we will use the function  $g$  of Theorem 0.4 to prove the existence of a translation invariant functional on the closed subspace  $C_0^{weak}(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  of  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  consisting of all functions  $f$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(t), x^* \rangle = 0$  for all  $x^* \in l^2$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $F$  be a closed subspace of  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$  which is invariant under left translations. A *translation invariant functional* on  $F$  is a non-zero element  $L \in F^*$  such that  $\langle f_t, L \rangle = \langle f, L \rangle$  for all  $f \in F$ . In terms of the left translation semigroup  $\mathbf{S}$  on  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ , the assumptions can be reformulated as saying that  $F$  is a closed  $\mathbf{S}$ -invariant subspace and that  $\langle S(t)f, L \rangle = \langle f, L \rangle$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . In other words,  $L$  is a fixed point of the semigroup  $\mathbf{S}_F^*$ , the adjoint of the restricted semigroup  $\mathbf{S}_F = \mathbf{S}|_F$ . Denoting by  $B_F$  the generator of  $\mathbf{S}_F$ , this, in turn, is equivalent to the condition  $L \in D(B_F^*)$  and  $B_F^*L = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *There exists a translation invariant functional on  $C_0^{weak}(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F := C_0^{weak}(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$ . By the observations just made we need to show that  $0 \in \sigma_p(B_F^*)$ , the point spectrum of  $B_F^*$ .

Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $0 \notin \sigma_p(B_F^*)$ . Let  $E$  denote the closed linear span in  $BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  of the  $\mathbf{S}$ -orbit of  $g$ , where  $g \in BUC(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  is the function of Theorem 0.4. By Theorem 0.4 (4) we have  $g \in C_0^{weak}(\mathbb{R}_+, l^2)$  and consequently  $E \subseteq F$ . The extension lemma for the purely imaginary point spectrum of an adjoint generator [Ne, Lemma 5.5.6] then implies that  $0 \notin \sigma_p(B_E^*)$ . Since  $\sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(B_E, g) = \sigma_{i\mathbb{R}}(B, g) = \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}(g) = \{0\}$ , from [Ne, Lemma 5.1.8] we infer that  $\sigma_p(B_E^*) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$ . Then by [BNR1, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.4] or [Ne, Lemma 5.1.9 and Theorem 5.1.11], applied to  $\mathbf{S}_E$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|g(t)\| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S_E(t)g\| = 0,$$

a contradiction. ■

**Acknowledgement.** I am indebted to Ben de Pagter for suggesting an improvement in Section 2.

## References

- [AB1] Arendt W., and C. J. K. Batty, *Almost periodic solutions of first and second order Cauchy problems*, J. Differential Equations **137** (1997), 363–383.
- [AB2] —, *Almost periodic solutions of inhomogenous Cauchy problems on the half-line*, Proc. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [AS] Arendt, W., and S. Schweiker, *Discrete spectrum and almost periodicity*, Taiwanese J. Math., to appear.
- [Ba1] Basit, B., “Some problems concerning different types of vector valued almost periodic functions,” *Dissertationes Math.* **338**, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1995.
- [Ba2] —, *Harmonic analysis and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the abstract Cauchy problem*, Semigroup Forum **54** (1997), 58–74.
- [BNR1] Batty, C. J. K., J. M. A. M. van Neerven, and F. Rübiger, *Local spectra and individual stability of uniformly bounded  $C_0$ -semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 2071–2085.
- [BNR2] —, *Tauberian theorems and stability of solutions of the Cauchy problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 2087–2103.
- [LZ] Levitan, B. M., and V. V. Zhikov, “Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [Ne] van Neerven, J. M. A. M., “The Asymptotic Behaviour of Semigroups of Linear Operators,” *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, Vol. **88**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1996.
- [Pa] Pazy, A., “Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations,” Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [RS1] Ruess, W. M., and W. H. Summers, “Integration of asymptotically almost periodic functions and weak asymptotic almost periodicity,” *Dissertationes Math.* **279**, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1989.
- [RS2] —, *Weak asymptotic almost periodicity for semigroups of operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **164** (1992), 242–262.
- [RV] Ruess, W. M., and Vũ Quốc Phóng, *Asymptotically almost periodic solutions of evolution equations in Banach spaces*, J. Differential Equations **122** (1995), 282–301.
- [St] Staffans, O. J. *On asymptotically almost periodic solutions of a convolution equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266** (1981), 603–616.

Department of Mathematics  
TU Delft  
P. O. Box 5031  
NL 2600 GA Delft  
The Netherlands  
J.vanNeerven@twi.tudelft.nl